

Application No. 10/729,275
Response dated July 17, 2006
Reply to Office action of June 9, 2006

REMARKS


Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-10, 12-13, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by **LINZER** et al., US Patent Application Publication, Pub. No. US 2004/0100577. Claims 3, 6, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over **LINZER** et al. in view of **BALKRISHNAN** et al., US 6,028,612.

Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to specify that while "a pixel comprises individual colorspace components of different types," "each burst comprises colorspace components of a single type." **LINZER** et al. does not show or anticipate at least this distinction. With these amendments, the Applicant believes that claims 1 and 9 are in condition for allowance.

Claims 2-8 are dependent on claim 1, and claims 10-16 are dependent on claim 9. In view of at least the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the pending claims 1-16 are in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowability is courteously solicited.

Should the Examiner disagree or have any questions regarding this submission, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner telephone the undersigned at (312) 775-8000. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge additional fee(s) or credit overpayment(s) to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Respectfully submitted,



Wayne H. Bradley
Reg. No. 39,916

Date: July 17, 2006

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 W. Madison Street - Suite 3400
Chicago, Illinois 60661
(312) 775-8187